Advanced Object-Oriented Design

Tests

Why testing is Important?

S. Ducasse





Goal

- Why tests are important?
- What are their advantages?
- What are the techniques to write good tests?

Why testing?

- Tests are your life insurance
- Increase trust that a change did not break something
- Reduce the **fear** of changes
- Support code understanding
- Tests do not avoid breaking your system
- But they show what you broke!

Remember...

A unit test that is not automated does **NOT EXIST!**



Automated tests are your life insurance

- Our brain is too small to remember everything
- Our brain focuses on our last action
- You write a test once and you execute it million times
- Programming is modeling the world and the world is changing



Automated tests ensure the software can evolve

- Tests make you **bold** in regards to changes
- Tests lower the fear of breaking
 - You can try and run the tests to get an idea
 - You can explore alternatives
 - You can understand that you misunderstood something



Test positive properties (1)

- Find bugs when they appear
- Improve customer trust
- Reproduce **complex** scenarii
- Guarantee old bugs are caught if reappear
- Isolate a problem



Some characteristics of a good test suite

- Check **extreme** cases (e.g., null, 0 and empty)
- Check complex cases (e.g., exceptions, network issues)
- 1 test for each bug (at least)
- Good coverage
- Check abstractions
- Check units independently



Understanding code: API and result

testConvert

self assert: Color white convert equals: '#FFFFFF'. self assert: Color red convert equals: '#FF0000'. self assert: Color black convert equals: '#000000'



fromString: and convert interplay

```
testFromStringIsCoherentWithConvert

| table aColorString |
table := #('0' '1' '2' '3' '4' '5' '6' '7' '8' '9' 'A' 'B' 'C' 'D' 'E' 'F').

table do: [:each |
aColorString := '#', each, each, '0000'.
self assert: ((Color fromString: aColorString) convert sameAs: aColorString)].
```



Understanding code

You do not have to know how numbers are implemented to understand that this bitShift: is working.

testBitShift

self assert: (2r11 bitShift: 2) equals: 2r1100. self assert: (2r1011 bitShift: -2) equals: 2r10.

Understanding code

You do not have to know how numbers are implemented to understand that this bitShift: is working.

```
testShiftOneLeftThenRightGetsOne
"Shift 1 bit left then right and test for 1"

1 to: 100 do: [:i |
self
assert: ((1 bitShift: i) bitShift: i negated)
equals: 1].
```



Understanding code;/

```
Color >> convert

| s |
s := '#000000' copy.
s at: 2 put: (Character digitValue: ((rgb bitShift: -6 - RedShift) bitAnd: 15)).
s at: 3 put: (Character digitValue: ((rgb bitShift: -2 - RedShift) bitAnd: 15)).
s at: 4 put: (Character digitValue: ((rgb bitShift: -6 - GreenShift) bitAnd: 15)).
s at: 5 put: (Character digitValue: ((rgb bitShift: -2 - GreenShift) bitAnd: 15)).
s at: 6 put: (Character digitValue: ((rgb bitShift: -6 - BlueShift) bitAnd: 15)).
s at: 7 put: (Character digitValue: ((rgb bitShift: -2 - BlueShift) bitAnd: 15)).
```



Understanding test;)

```
ColorTest >> testAsHexString | table aColorString | self assert: Color white asHexString equals: 'FFFFFF'. self assert: Color red asHexString equals: 'FF0000'. self assert: Color black asHexString equals: '000000'.
```



Limit dependency to elements not under test

Imagine that we want to test a transformation of a piece of code

- If we depend on the compiler to get the test input
- It may break when the transformation is wrong, but also each time the compiler changes something!

Better have a setup that is independent of the compiler

Manually build the test input and store it in a test setup

Think about API even in the test setup

Positive and negative tests

Positive

- If I do the normal stuff,
- It passes!
- Example: You can log in with the correct credentials

Negative

- If I do not behave correctly,
- It breaks!
- Example: You must not be able to load with incorrect credentials
- Example: It should raise an exception if given 0



Test positive properties (2)

- Give simple and reproducible examples
- Executable snippets
- Illustrate the API
- Give up-to-date documentation
- Check the conformity of new code
- Offer a first client to new code
- Force a 'customizable' design



Characteristics of a good test suite

- Deterministic
- Self-explained
- Simple/Unit/Short: with few assertions (not 10th not hundred)
- Change less frequently than the rest:
 - Test the API not the implementation
 - Limit dependency to other elements
- Good code coverage

Conclusion

- Tests are important
- In particular in dynamically-typed languages
- Help deliver complex projects

A course by

S. Ducasse, G. Polito, and Pablo Tesone



