


Application settings

From monolithic to modular

S. Ducasse




Goals

- Think about **customizable** elements
- Think about modularity
- Study one real case: Preference in Squeak and Pharo



The case of Preferences



Challenges

- How to make sure that we can have
 - One application with only **its** preferences and its dependencies
 - A **modular** definition of preferences
- How do we make sure that
 - **domain** objects do **not** refer to preference objects and
 - still can offer preferences to the user?



Looking into the problem

Back in time in Squeak 3.8

- Preferences **was** a facade managing preferences
- Preferences **class** was referenced 617 times
- Preferences **was** a huge dependency attractor
 - referring to many other subsystems (reading 3D files, RTF, PNG, Compiler....)



UI, Tools,... all referenced Preferences

```
MenuMorph >> initialize
  super initialize.
  bounds := 0@0 corner: 40@10.
  self setDefaultParameters.
  self listDirection: #topToBottom.
  self hResizing: #shrinkWrap.
  self vResizing: #shrinkWrap.
  defaultTarget := nil.
  selectedItem := nil.
  stayUp := false.
  popUpOwner := nil.
  Preferences roundedMenuCorners ifTrue: [self useRoundedCorners]
```



UI, Tools,... all referenced Preferences

```
BasicButton >> label: aString font: aFontOrNil
```

```
| oldLabel m aFont |  
(oldLabel := self findA: StringMorph)  
  ifNotNil: [oldLabel delete].  
aFont := aFontOrNil ifNil: [Preferences standardButtonFont].  
m := StringMorph contents: aString font: aFont.  
self extent: (m width + 6) @ (m height + 6).  
m position: self center - (m extent // 2).  
self addMorph: m.  
m lock
```



Even core parts of the system

```
Class class >> templateForSubclassOf: priorClassName category: systemCategoryName
```

```
Preferences printAlternateSyntax
```

```
  ifTrue: [^ priorClassName asString, ' subclass (#NameOfSubclass)
```

```
instanceVariableNames (""")
```

```
classVariableNames (""")
```

```
poolDictionaries (""")
```

```
category ('' , systemCategoryName asString , ''")]
```

```
  ifFalse: [^ priorClassName asString, ' subclass: #NameOfSubclass
```

```
instanceVariableNames: """)
```

```
classVariableNames: """)
```

```
poolDictionaries: """)
```

```
category: ''' , systemCategoryName asString , ''"]
```




Even core parts of the system 2

```
InputSensor >> duplicateControlAndAltKeysChanged
```

```
(Preferences  
  valueOfFlag: #swapControlAndAltKeys  
  ifAbsent: [false]) ifTrue: [  
    self inform: 'Resetting swapControlAndAltKeys preference'.  
    (Preferences preferenceAt: #swapControlAndAltKeys) rawValue: false.  
  ].  
self installKeyDecodeTable.
```

Externalized control flow



Analysis

- **Everybody** depends on Preferences
- The Preferences is **not optional**
- Each time the Preferences class depends on a new item, all the **dependent are impacted**
- A clear **lost-lost**
- **Monolithic**



Facade and Singleton are against modularity

- A Facade should **rarely** be used
 - Propose a single entry point to a subsystem
 - Compiler is probably the only working example
- A Facade is often a disguised **global variable!**
- Singleton is most of the time not understood and correctly used (see Lectures on Singleton)





A new architecture

- A class **defines state / methods** that implement its customization points
- The class **declares** its settings (via description)
- The settings browser collects the **setting declaration** and builds a UI for the user
- The settings browser **configure** objects **using settings description**



Internal control flow



Sound obvious but so true

An object should be **locally** customizable

- Think about encapsulation
- As a customisable element, I should be designed to **be customized** without referring to external global objects



In Action: A class implements its customization points

```
JobProgressBarMorph >> isInterruptable  
  ^ self class isInterruptable
```

```
JobProgressBarMorph class >> isInterruptable  
  ^ IsInterruptable ifNil: [ IsInterruptable := true ]
```



In Action: Settings declaration using a Builder

```
JobProgressBarMorph class >> interruptionSetting: aBuilder
  <systemsettings>
  (aBuilder setting: #isInterruptable)
    label: 'Make progress bar interruptable';
    default: true;
    description: 'When enabled, add a button to progress bars to
    interrupt the action when clicked.';
    parent: #progress;
    target: self;
    order: 1
```

- Using a builder as parameter we avoid direct references to the classes of Settings
- Can be optionally packaged in another package if needed



In Action: Settings Browser

The screenshot shows a window titled "Settings Browser" with a search bar containing "job" and a "Regexp" checkbox. The settings are organized into a tree view under "Appearance", "Morphic", and "Progress Bar". The "Make progress bar interruptable" setting is checked. A footer contains the text "Hit return in text fields to accept the input" and "All packages".

Settings Browser


Expand all Search for: job Regexp Choose packages Store Settings Load Settings

- Appearance
 - Morphic
 - Progress Bar
 - Make progress bar interruptable

Hit return in text fields to accept the input

All packages

Layered architecture



Settings
label
description

SettingsCollector
collect:

DOMAIN

Setting Description

JobProgressBarMorph class >> interruptionSetting: aBuilder

<systemsettings>

(aBuilder setting: #isInterruptable)

label: 'Make progress bar interruptible';

default: true;

description: 'When enabled, add a button to progress bars to interrupt the action when clicked.';

Analysis

Layered

- the domain does not depend on the setting framework
- Settings do not depend on Browser

Modular



About parametrizable

- An object should be **designed to be parametrized**
- The logic flow should be **internal**
- The object logic should **not be tight to a preference object**
- The object parametrization can be set from an external object (like the Setting browser)



Conclusion

- Architecture should not promote global variable usage
- Avoid Singleton/Facade, these are anti-patterns
- Our theory is that Facade is only "useful" for Compiler :)
- Customization should first be internal



A course by

S. Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and Pablo Tesone



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 France
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/fr/>