About defensive programming

S.Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and P. Tesone

```
x ifTrue:[
    ^ self ]
```

Goals

- Think about spurious checks
- Dynamically-typed languages do not need explicit type checks
- Favor testing

Preamble

```
Object >> assert: aBlock description: aStringOrBlock
  "Throw an assertion error if aBlock does not evaluates to true."
  <debuggerCompleteToSender>
  aBlock value
    ifFalse: [ AssertionFailure signal: aStringOrBlock value ]
```

- assert:description: is checking and in addition raises an error.
- It changes the program control flow

Defensive Example

```
BlLayoutCommonConstraints >> padding: aBlPadding
  "Change element's margin to a BlMargin. aBlPadding must not be nil."
  self
    assert: [ aBlPadding isNotNil ]
    description: [ 'Padding must not be nil' ].

  padding := aBlPadding
```

Analysis of the approach

- Runtime cost
- Assertions can be optional so we should not consider that they are executed
- Assertions can be a good help to track problems and stabilize

Defensive Example 2

```
BlLayoutCommonConstraints >> padding: aBlPadding
  "Change element's margin to a BlMargin. aBlPadding must not be nil."

  aBlPadding isNil
    ifTrue: [ self error: 'Padding must not be nil' ].

  padding := aBlPadding
```

- What is the goal here? That padding does not break
- But I can still write x padding: aJunkObject
- So the test is not good and worth

Better setter

```
BlLayoutCommonConstraints >> padding: aBlPadding
  "Change element's margin to a BlMargin. aBlPadding must not be nil."

  padding := aBlPadding
```

Defensive Example 3

```
BlEvent >> source
  "Return an event target that plays a role of a source of this event"

  self
    assert: [ self hasSource ]
    description: [ 'Can not access a source if there is no one' ].

  ^ source
```

- Assertions are conceptually optional
- Tell look like leftover from debugging

Defensive Example Alternative 2

```
BlEvent >> source
  "Return an event target that plays a role of a source of this event"

  self hasSource
    ifFalse: [ self error: 'Can not access a source if there is no one' ].

  ^ source
```

- We could catch the error if needed.
- At least the reader knows that there is a check for real
- Now would be better to have a well initialized source

About explicit type checks

```
BlLayoutCommonConstraints >> padding: aBlPadding
  "Change element's margin to a BlMargin. aBlPadding must not be nil."

  (aBlPadding isKindOf: BlPadding)
    ifTrue: [ self error ].

  padding := aBlPadding
```

- It is slow
- It prevents to extend the program and pass polymorphic objects

Conclusion

- Avoid optional checks that are only for debugging purpose
- Avoid explicit type-checks
- Favor tests

Produced as part of the course on http://www.fun-mooc.fr

Advanced Object-Oriented Design and Development with Pharo

A course by
S.Ducasse, L. Fabresse, G. Polito, and P. Tesone

2023