Engineering a Compiler Writing an ARMv8 backend for a JIT compiler in 2 days per week





object Jit hard +0m bas: Stuck mother Native Code tone





Pharo VM JIT Compiler **Overview**





Implementing an ARMv8 Backend

- ARMV8 is now pervasive:
 - New Apple Silicon
 - Raspberry Pi 4
 - Microsoft Surface Pro X
 - PineBook Pro

- move r1 #1
- move r2 #17

- add r3 r1 r2
- move r1 r3
- ret

checkSmallInt r1 checkSmallInt r2 checkSmallInt r3



Machine Code Generation "Concretize"

ARM



Some other numbers to contextualise

- 255 bytecode (77 different) + ~340 primitives
- 146 different IR instructions
- Instruction patching (+runtime disassemble)
 - optimizations such as inline caches
 - unused generated machine code is garbage collected
 - (thus moved, and its callers need to be re-linked)



Lots of combinations!

Engineering a Compiler

ENGINEERING A COMPILER

SECOND EDITION



Engineering a Compiler Good books, but centered on the *underlying algorithms*





Steven S. Muchnick



Compilers

Principles, Techniques, & Tools

Second Edition

Alfred V. Aho Monica S. Lam Ravi Sethi Jeffrey D. Ullman







Testable









Incremental





Testable









Incremental

Testing a Compiler Insights: Black box testing

Black box testing

=> depend only on **observable behaviour**

=> reusable in different backends

=> more resistant to changes in the implementation

testPushConstantZeroBytecodePushesASmallIntegerZero

self compile: [compiler genPushConstantZeroBytecode]. self runGeneratedCode.

self assert: self popAddress equals: (memory integerObjectOf: 0)



Testing a Compiler Insights: Cross-compile / Cross-execute

Use a machine simulator

- => parametrizable tests run the same test with multiple backends





=> hardware independent: test and debug in any machine any backend

self assert: self popAddress equals: (memory integerObjectOf: 0)



Intermezzo: Generating machine code To LLVM or not to LLVM

- LLVM is a compiler infrastructure: its own IR, its assemblers and disassemblers
- It even has a JIT module
- But
 - => not testable!
 - and managed => not compatible with the rest of our infrastructure



• Not observable: not straightforward to extract the generated code to test it

• Lock-in: it leaves no control on how machine code is generated, executed

Intermezzo: Generating machine code The backend **is** an assembler

Generates machine code from the intermediate representation

(is64Bits bitAnd: 1) << 31</pre>

- bitOr: ((substractionFlag bitAnd: 1) << 30</pre>
- bitOr: ((setFlagsFlag bitAnd: 1) << 29</pre>
- bitOr: (2r01011 << 24
- bitOr: ((shiftType bitAnd: 2r11) << 22</pre>
- bitOr: ((rightRegister bitAnd: 2r11111) << 16</pre>
- bitOr: ((immediate6bitValue bitAnd: 2r111111) << 10</pre>
- bitOr: ((leftRegister bitAnd: 2r11111) << 5</pre>
- bitOr: (destinationRegister bitAnd: 2r11111)))))))



Arm[®] Architecture Reference Manual Armv8, for Armv8-A architecture profile

C6.2.5 ADD (shifted register)

Add (shifted register) adds a register value and an optionally-shifted register value destination register.

32-bit variant

Applies when sf == 0.

ADD <Wd>, <Wn>, <Wm>{, <shift> #<amount>}

64-bit variant

Applies when sf == 1.







Testable









Incremental

Incremental Compiler Engineering **Insights: Start Small**

- First: The **simplest** test you can write for the **simplest** functionality
- Second: The **next simplest** test you can write for the **next simplest** functionality

=> The first focus is in understanding how to better write the tests

self compile: [compiler genPushConstantZeroBytecode]. self runGeneratedCode.

self assert: self popAddress equals: (memory integerObjectOf: 0)

- testPushConstantZeroBytecodePushesASmallIntegerZero



Incremental Compiler Engineering Insights: Invest in infrastructure

- Refactor
- Clean
- Create Reusable Components

self runGeneratedCode.

testPushConstantZeroBytecodePushesASmallIntegerZero

self assert: self popAddress equals: (memory integerObjectOf: 0)

self compile: [compiler genPushConstantZeroBytecode].



Incremental Compiler Engineering Insights: Don't hesitate to step back

- If a test cannot be tamed with the current infrastructure
 - The infrastructure is not good!
 - Step back as soon as possible => lose minutes, not weeks
 - Choose a simpler test that could help you develop missing points







Testable









Incremental

Debugging a compiler Insights: prototype your own tools

- Prototype fast
- Prototype based on **needs**, not desires

Examples:

- Machine debugger
- Bytecode-IR visualization
- Disassembler DSL

Address	ASM
16r1000000	mov x1, x2
16r1000004	ldr x24, #3
16r1000008	ldr x16, #4
16r100000C	str x29, [x
16r1000010	ldr x16, #4
16r1000014	mov x17, s
16r1000018	str x17, [x
16r100001C	mov x24, x
16r1000020	ret
16r1000024	nop
16r1000028	inst unde.
16r100002C	inst unde.
16r1000030	inst unde.
16r1000034	udf #0
16r1000038	inst unde.
16r100003C	udf #0
16r1000040	udf #0
16r1000044	udf #0
16r1000048	udf #0
16r100004C	udf #0
16r1000050	udf #0
16r1000054	udf #0
16r1000058	udf #0
16r100005C	udf #0

	Bytes	^		^			
, x24	#[225 3 24 170]		lr	'16r1001000	SP	16r1002FF8	16
, #36	#[56 1 0 88]		рс	'16rBADF00	FP	16r1003000	16
, #40	#[80 1 0 88]		sp	'16r1012F8(16r1003008	16
,[x16]	#[29 2 0 249]		fp	'16r100300(16r1003010	16
, #40	#[80 1 0 88]		x28 v	mStackPointe'16r1002FF8		16r1003018	16
.7, sp	#[241 3 0 145]		-			16r1003020	16
,[x16]	#[17 2 0 249]					16r1003028	16
4, x1	#[248 3 1 170]					16r1003030	16
	#[192 3 95 214]			Jump to		16r1003038	16
	#[31 32 3 213]					16r1003040	16
ndefined 16rFFFFB5#[88 251 255 255]					16r1003048	16	
ndefined 16r7FFFFF #[255 255 255 127]					16r1003050	16	
ndefined 16r1012FBC#[176 47 1 1]		Step			16r1003058	16	
#[0 0 0 0]					16r1003060	16	
ndefined 16r1012FB8#[184 47 1 1]					16r1003068	16	
#[0 0 0 0]						16r1003070	16
#[0 0 0 0] #[0 0 0 0]					16r1003078	16	
					16r1003080	16	
	#[0 0 0 0]					16r1003088	16
	#[0 0 0 0]					16r1003090	16
#[0 0 0 0] #[0 0 0 0]			Disassemble at PC			16r1003098	16
						16r10030A0	16
	#[0 0 0 0]					16r10030A8	16
	#[0 0 0 0]					16r10030B0	16



Debugging a compiler **Insights: Get real execution feedback**

- Simulators are cheap, but not 100% trustworthy
- Full execution (simulated and real HW)
 - more expensive to run
 - cannot unit-test it (less controllable)
- Turn failures into tests
 - If you can reproduce it in a test, you understand the bug
 - Fix with the aid of the test: => the test is faster to run => and easier to debug than the real execution







Testable









Incremental







Tests are code

- **Design** your tests
- **Refactor** your tests
- Make them modular and reusable

