



# Selected Design Patterns

Stéphane Ducasse

[Stephane.Ducasse@univ-savoie.fr](mailto:Stephane.Ducasse@univ-savoie.fr)

<http://www.listic.univ-savoie.fr/~ducasse/>

# License: CC-Attribution-ShareAlike 2.0

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/2.0/>



The image is a yellow rectangular graphic with the Creative Commons logo at the top center. Below the logo, it reads 'Creative Commons Commons Deed' and 'Attribution-ShareAlike 2.0'. It lists permissions under 'You are free:' and conditions under 'Under the following conditions:'. The conditions include 'BY: Attribution' and 'Share Alike' with their respective descriptions and bullet points. At the bottom, it states 'Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.' and provides a link to the full license.

**CC creative commons**  
COMMONS DEED

**Attribution-ShareAlike 2.0**

**You are free:**

- to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work
- to make derivative works
- to make commercial use of the work

**Under the following conditions:**

**BY:** **Attribution.** You must give the original author credit.

**Share Alike.** If you alter, transform, or build upon this work, you may distribute the resulting work only under a license identical to this one.

- For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work.
- Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

**Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.**

This is a human-readable summary of the [Legal Code \(the full license\)](#).



# Goal

- What are patterns?
- Why?
- Patterns are not god on earth
- Example



# Design Patterns

- Design patterns are **recurrent** solutions to design **problems**
- They are **names**
  - Composite, Visitor, Observer...
- They are pros and cons



# From Architecture

- Christoffer Alexander
  - “The Timeless Way of Building”, Christoffer Alexander, Oxford University Press, 1979, ISBN 0195024028
- More advanced than what is used in computer science
  - only the simple parts got used.
  - pattern languages were skipped.



# Why Patterns?

- Smart
  - Elegant solutions that a novice would not think of
- Generic
  - Independent on specific system type, language
- **Well-proven**
  - Successfully tested in **several** systems
- Simple
  - Combine them for more complex solutions
- There are really stupid patterns (supersuper) in some books so watch out!!!



# Patterns provide...

- **Reusable** solutions to **common** problems based on experiences from real systems
- **Names** of abstractions above class and object level a common vocabulary for developers
- Handling of functional and non-functional aspects
  - separating interfaces/implementation, loose coupling between parts, ...
- A basis for **frameworks** and toolkits basic constructs to improve reuse
- Education and training support



# Elements in a Pattern

- Pattern **name**  
Increase of design vocabulary
- **Problem** description  
When to apply it, in what context to use it
- **Solution** description (generic !)  
The elements that make up the design, their relationships, responsibilities, and collaborations
- **Consequences**  
Results and trade-offs of applying the pattern





# Example

- The composite pattern...
- Open the other file :)



# Patterns...



# Categories of Design Patterns

- Creational Patterns
  - Instantiation and configuration of classes and objects
- Structural Patterns
  - Usage of classes and objects in larger structures, separation of interfaces and implementation
- Behavioral Patterns
  - Algorithms and division of responsibility
- Concurrency
- Distribution
- Security



# Some Creational Patterns

- ***Abstract factory***
- Builder
- Factory Method
- Prototype
- ***Singleton***



# Some Structural Patterns

- Adapter
- Bridge
- **Composite**
- Decorator
- Façade
- Flyweight
- Proxy



# Some Behavioral Patterns

- **Chain of responsibility**
- Command
- Interpreter
- Iterator
- Mediator
- Memento
- Observer
- State
- **Strategy**
- Template Method
- **Visitor**



# Alert!!! Design Patterns are invading

- Design Patterns may be a real **plague!**
- Do not apply them when you do not need them



- Design Patterns make the software more complex
  - More classes
  - More indirections, more messages
- Try to understand when **NOT** applying them!



# About Pattern Implementation

- This is **POSSIBLE** implementation not a definitive one
- Do not confuse structure and intent!!!
- Patterns are about **INTENT**  
and **TRADEOFFS**





# Source



12 of 12 people found the following review helpful:

★★★★★ **Easier to understand than the original GoF**, February 4, 2000

Reviewer: [Nicolas Weidmann](#) (Zurich, Switzerland) - [See all my reviews](#)

This book gives you a better understanding of the patterns than in its original version (the GoF one). I am not a SmallTalk programmer but a 9 years C++ one. At work I had to use the GoF book and never liked reading it. In contrast to this, the SmallTalk companion is easy to read and you can understand the patterns within the first few lines of their description. Take the Bridge pattern and compare their discussions in the two books. If you really like the GoF one then buy it. But according to me, it would be a big mistake buying the GoF in favour of the SmallTalk companion. Trust a C++ programmer :-)

Was this review helpful to you?   ([Report this](#))

# Wrap-up



Patterns are names

Patterns are about tradeoffs

Know when not to apply them