



Essential OO Concepts

Stéphane Ducasse

Stephane.Ducasse@univ-savoie.fr

<http://www.iam.unibe.ch/~ducasse/>

Stéphane Ducasse

stephane.ducasse@univ-savoie.fr

Outline

- OOP
- Objects, classes
- Inheritance
- Composition
- Comparison



Object-Orientation

- Is a paradigm not a technology
- Reflects, simulates the real world
- Thinks in terms of organization
- Tries to
 - Handle complexity
 - Enhance reusability
 - Minimize maintenance cost



Evolution

- Procedures
- Structured Programming
- Fourth Generation Languages
- Object-Oriented Programming
- ???




Traditional Point of View

- Focuses upon procedures
- Functionality is vested in procedures
- Data exists solely to be operated upon by procedures
- Procedures know about the structure of data
- Requires large number of procedures and procedure names



Data and Procedures



Roadmap

- OOP
- Objects, classes
- Inheritance
- Composition
- Comparison



What is OOP?


- An application is a collection of interacting entities (objects)
- Objects are characterized by behavior and state
- Inter-object behavior needs to be coordinated
- Inter-object communication is the key to coordination



Object-Oriented Viewpoint

- An **application** is a set of **objects** interacting by sending **messages**
- The functionality of an object is described by its **methods**, its data are stored in private **variables**
- An object's functionality can be invoked by sending a **message**
- **Everything** is an object

State + Behavior + Identity



State + Behavior + Identity

- State: Objects it contains or refers to
 - Ex: point location
- Behavior: an object understands a given set of messages
- Identity: an object can be the same (of the same class) than another one but it has still a different identity (location in memory)




Equality and Identity

- I want to eat the pizza that you are eating
- Equality: I want to eat the “same” kind of pizza
- Identity: I eat your pizza




Data/Messages/Methods




What vs. How

- What: Messages
 - Specify what behavior objects are to perform
 - Details of how are left up to the receiver
 - State information only accessed via messages
- How: Methods
 - Specify how operation is to be performed
 - Must have access to (contain or be passed) data
 - Need detailed knowledge of data
 - Can manipulate data directly




Message

- Sent to receiver object: receiver-object message
- A message may include parameters necessary for performing the action
- In Smalltalk, a message-send always returns a result (an object)
- Only way to communicate with an object and have it perform actions



Method

- Defines how to respond to a message
- Selected via method lookup technique
- Has name that is the same as message name
- Is a sequence of executable statements
- Returns an object as result of execution





Object Encapsulation

- Technique for
 - Creating objects with encapsulated state/behaviour
 - Hiding implementation details
 - Protecting the state information of objects
 - Communicating/accessing via a uniform interface
- Puts objects in control
- Facilitates modularity, code reuse and maintenance
 - External perspective vs. Internal perspective
 - What vs. How
 - Message vs. Method



Encapsulation at Work



Objects



Unique identity

Private state

Shared behavior among other similar objects



Roadmap

- OOP
- Objects, classes
- ***Classes and Inheritance***
- Composition
- Comparison



Class: Factory of Objects

- Reuse behavior
=> Factor into class
- Class: “Factory” object for creating new objects of the same kind
- Template for objects that share common characteristics



Class: Mold of Objects

- **Describe** state but not value of all the instances of the class
 - Position, width and height for rectangles
- **Define** behavior of all instances of the class


area

$\wedge \text{width} * \text{height}$

Rectangle
length, width, origin, color, <u>borderSize</u> , <u>borderColor</u>
area intersect: center <u>sideNearestTo:</u>

Instances

- A particular occurrence of an object defined by a class
- Each instance has its own value for the instance variables
- All instances of a class share the same methods




How to Share Specification?

- Do not want to rewrite everything!
- Often times want small changes
- Class hierarchies for sharing of definitions
- Each class defines or refines the definition of its ancestors
=> inheritance




Inheritance

- New classes
 - Can **add** state and behavior
 - Can **specialize** ancestor behavior
 - Can use ancestor's behavior and state
 - Can **hide** ancestor's behavior
- Direct ancestor = superclass
- Direct descendant = subclass



Comparable Quantity Hierarchy



Polymorphism - Late binding

- Same message can be sent to different objects
 - Different receivers react differently (different methods)
-
- aCircle area
 - aRectangle area
-
- aColoredWindow open
 - aScheduledWindow open
 - aWindow open



Late binding: “Let’s the receiver decide”

Mapping of messages to methods deferred until run-time (dynamic binding)

Allows for rapid incremental development without the need to recompile the complete applications

Most traditional languages do this at compile time (static binding)



Roadmap

- OOP
- Objects, classes
- Classes and Inheritance
- **Composition**
- Comparison




Composition

- An object is composed of other objects in a part-of relationship
- The object uses its parts to implement its behavior
- The object can delegate to its parts





Example

A rectangle can be composed of
two points:
to represent its origin and extent
to represent its topleft and bottomleft corners
or 4 numbers




Example (2)

Polyline has a list of vertices



Agrégation

- L'agrégation est une association non symétrique, qui exprime un couplage fort et une relation de subordination.
Elle représente une relation de type "ensemble / élément".
- Peut (mais pas nécessairement) exprimer :
 - qu'une classe (un "élément") fait partie d'une autre ("l'agrégat"),
 - qu'un changement d'état d'une classe, entraîne un changement d'état d'une autre,
 - qu'une action sur une classe, entraîne une action sur une autre.



Composition vs. Inheritance

Inheritance supports extension: ColoredRectangle

But

- static, properties are difficult to change dynamically
- we have to change classes at run-time
- explosion of classes
- class with too much responsibilities

With composition

- run-time changes are easier: plug another objects (with the same interface)
- but lot of objects



Outline

- OOP
- Objects, classes
- Inheritance
- Composition
- ***Comparison***



Graphical Editor

- Managing list of objects: square, rectangle, circle...
- Intersect, color, rotate translate....
- We want to know the total area of a list of figures



Procedural Solution

tArea

 element class = Circle

 then tArea := tArea + element.circleArea.

 element class= Rectangle

 then tArea := tArea + element.rectangleArea

...

Same for ...

 intersect, color, rotate translate....

In Java for example

```
public static long sumShapes(Shape shapes[]) {  
    long sum = 0;  
    for (int i=0; i<shapes.length; i++) {  
        switch (shapes[i].kind()) {  
            // a class constant  
            case Shape.CIRCLE:  
                sum += shapes[i].circleArea();  
                break;  
            case Shape.RECTANGLE:  
                sum += shapes[i].rectangleArea();  
                break;  
            ... // more cases  
        }  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```

Problems

- Adding a kind of graphical element
- Change all the methods area, intersect, rotate, translate...
- Always have to check what is the data I manipulate



Object-Oriented Solution

Circle>>area

^ Float pi * r * r

Rectangle>>area

^ width * height

XXX>>area

elements do:

[:each | tArea := tArea + each area]

Advantages

- Adding a new graphical object does not require to change the list operations
- I do not have know the kind of objects I'm manipulating as soon as they all share a common interface



Recap

OOP see the world as interacting objects

Objects

Have their **own** state

Share the behavior among similar objects

Classes: Factory of objects

Define behavior of objects

Describe the structure of objects

Share specification via hierarchies

Recap

- OOP is based on
 - Encapsulating data and procedures
 - Inheritance
 - Polymorphism
 - Late Binding
- OOP promotes
 - Modularity
 - Reuse